

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/622,921	FRAIT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kevin P. Kerns.

(2) Stephen Evans, applicants' representative.

**Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 April 2005

**Time:** 9:45am EDT

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 7, and 26-28

Prior art documents discussed:

Oono et al., Pollock et al., and references in European search report

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was discussed and agreed upon to replace "air directing structures" with --brake plate supports-- in all instances for claims 1, 7, and 26 (as well as all references thereto in the applicants' remarks section). Non-elected claims 27 and 28 were cancelled.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kevin Kerns 4/11/05  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)